IMPACT OF MICROFINANCE AND TVET PARTNERSHIPS IN ALLEVIATING ECONOMIC CHALLENGES IN NIGERIA

Kamal Adekunle, ADEWUNMI

Department of Banking and Finance, Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro, Ogun State, Nigeria.
Email: kamal.adewunmi@federalpolyilaro.edu.ng
Tel: +2348027662246

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of Microfinance and Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) Partnerships on alleviating economic challenges in Nigeria. Amidst the backdrop of persistent economic disparities and high unemployment rates, this research explores the transformative potential of collaborative efforts between Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) and TVET programs. Ex Post Facto research design was adopted; the population of the study is the Nigerian economy. The study employs secondary data gathered form Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin. Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method was employed to analyzed data with the aids of E-view 7. Our findings reveal that Microfinance and TVET Partnerships have a substantial and positive influence on economic well-being in Nigeria. Through comprehensive data analysis, we establish that these partnerships enhance employment prospects and income levels among beneficiaries. This translates into tangible poverty reduction and economic empowerment. Moreover, these collaborations stimulate entrepreneurship and bolster small businesses, contributing to economic diversification and reduced reliance on a single economic sector. In conclusion, this research underscores the importance of Microfinance and TVET Partnerships as a potent strategy for addressing Nigeria's economic challenges. While recognizing the partnerships' substantial benefits, the study recommends the need to address issues related to sustainability, equitable access, and program quality. Embracing and expanding these partnerships will be instrumental in advancing economic development and fostering prosperity in Nigeria.

Keywords: *Economic Challenges, Expenditure, Education, Microfinance, Partnership, TVET*

Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected and interdependent world, global economic challenges have become a pressing concern for nations across the globe. The complex and multifaceted nature of these challenges poses significant threats to economic growth, stability, and prosperity for countries at all stages of development. One of the primary global economic challenges is the growing income inequality (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). The gap between the rich and the poor has been widening, impeding social cohesion and reducing opportunities for upward mobility. This inequality not only hampers the overall well-being of individuals and communities but also undermines economic stability and growth. Another major challenge is the frequent occurrence of financial crises and economic downturns (Reinhart, & Rogoff, 2010). The global financial crisis of 2008, which originated in the United States but had a profound impact worldwide, demonstrated the interconnectedness of financial systems and the potential for contagion. These crises can lead to recessions, job losses, and increased poverty rates, affecting both developed and developing nations.

Furthermore, Reinhart and Rogoff, (2010) explained that the rising levels of debt and fiscal imbalances pose significant challenges to many economies. Governments accumulate debt to finance public expenditure, but excessive debt burdens can lead to unsustainable levels, eroding investor confidence and hindering economic growth. Developing nations are particularly vulnerable to debt crises, as they struggle to allocate resources efficiently while servicing their debt obligations. Climate change and environmental degradation also pose substantial global economic challenges. The adverse impacts of climate change, such as extreme weather events and rising sea levels, can lead to significant economic losses, particularly in vulnerable regions. Additionally, the transition to a low-carbon economy requires substantial investments in renewable energy and sustainable practices, which can strain the financial resources of many nations.

Technological advancements and the rapid pace of digitalization present both opportunities and challenges to the global economy. Automation and artificial intelligence have the potential to revolutionize industries and increase productivity, but they also pose risks to job security and exacerbate income inequality. Nations must navigate the digital divide and ensure that all individuals have access to the necessary skills and opportunities to thrive in a digitalized world. In conclusion, global economic challenges are complex and interrelated, requiring comprehensive and coordinated efforts from governments, international institutions, and stakeholders. Addressing income inequality, managing financial risks, promoting sustainable development, and embracing technological innovation are key priorities in building a resilient and inclusive global economy. Collaborative action and effective policies are crucial to navigate these challenges, ensuring shared prosperity and sustainable development for all nations (Milanovic, 2016).

Nigeria, as one of the most populous nations in Africa, has encountered its fair share of economic challenges over the years. These challenges range from unemployment and underemployment to limited access to financial services, particularly among the economically vulnerable populations. In response to these issues, the integration of Microfinance and Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) programs has emerged as a potential solution with the promise of alleviating economic hardships and fostering sustainable development. Fadahunsi (2018) explained that microfinance institutions (MFIs) have played a crucial role in expanding financial inclusion across Nigeria, providing access to microloans and other financial services to individuals and small businesses. On the other hand, TVET programs have sought to equip the Nigerian workforce with practical skills and knowledge, offering pathways to gainful employment and entrepreneurship (Okafor & Ejiofor, 2017).

Recognizing the synergy between these two approaches, partnerships between microfinance institutions and TVET providers have gained prominence as a strategy to address economic challenges in Nigeria. This research endeavour embarks on a comprehensive examination of the impact of Microfinance and TVET partnerships on alleviating economic challenges in Nigeria. To delve into this topic, it is imperative to consider how these partnerships have evolved in the Nigerian context, the specific interventions they have implemented, and the outcomes observed in terms of economic empowerment, job creation, and poverty reduction. To explore the multifaceted dimensions of this topic, we will draw upon a range of academic sources, policy reports, and case studies. Additionally, we will leverage insights from key stakeholders, including microfinance institutions, TVET providers, government agencies, and beneficiaries of these initiatives, to provide a well-rounded analysis. The significance of this research lies in its potential to shed light on effective strategies for addressing economic challenges in Nigeria through collaborative efforts between microfinance institutions and TVET programs. By understanding the dynamics of these partnerships and their outcomes, policymakers, practitioners, and stakeholders can make informed decisions to further enhance economic opportunities and sustainable development in Nigeria.

Literature Review

The concept of Microfinance and TVET (Technical and Vocational Education and Training) partnerships involves the collaboration between two distinct but complementary approaches aimed at addressing economic challenges, fostering financial inclusion, and promoting sustainable development, particularly in emerging economies like Nigeria. Here's a detailed concept:

Microfinance

Microfinance refers to the provision of financial services, including microloans, savings accounts, insurance, and payment services, to individuals, small businesses, and entrepreneurs who often lack access to traditional banking systems. These services are typically provided by Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) and are characterized by their small loan sizes and tailored financial products designed to meet the needs of low-income and underserved populations (Okafor & Ejiofor, 2017).

TVET (Technical and Vocational Education and Training)

TVET encompasses a range of formal and informal educational and training programs that equip individuals with practical skills, knowledge, and competencies necessary for specific trades, occupations, or professions. TVET programs focus on enhancing employability, entrepreneurship, and workforce development. They are vital for bridging skills gaps, reducing unemployment, and promoting economic growth (Fadahunsi, 2018).

Microfinance and TVET Partnership

The partnership between Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) and TVET providers involves a collaborative effort to combine the strengths of both approaches. This partnership aims to achieve several objectives (Piketty, 2014):

Enhancing Access to Finance: MFIs offer financial services to individuals and small businesses that have received training through TVET programs. This access to credit allows beneficiaries to invest in income-generating activities, start or expand businesses, or acquire assets.

Supporting Skill Development: TVET programs equip individuals with practical skills and knowledge, making them more attractive borrowers for MFIs. Borrowers with skills training are better positioned to use credit productively.

Promoting Entrepreneurship: The partnership encourages entrepreneurship by providing aspiring entrepreneurs with the financial resources and skills needed to establish and run their businesses effectively.

Reducing Unemployment: TVET programs prepare individuals for employment in specific industries. When combined with access to finance, this can lead to increased job placement rates and reduced unemployment.

Poverty Reduction: By improving access to finance and skills development simultaneously, the partnership aims to alleviate poverty by enhancing the economic opportunities available to beneficiaries.

Sustainability: The partnership strives for sustainability by creating a cycle where loans provided by MFIs can be invested in income-generating activities, leading to financial self-sufficiency.

Review of Related Theories

While there may not be specific "theories" in the same way there are established theories in certain academic disciplines, the concept of Microfinance and TVET (Technical and Vocational Education and Training) partnerships draws on principles and ideas from various fields. Here are some relevant theories and frameworks that underpin the rationale for such partnerships:

Human Capital Theory: This theory was propounded by Gary Becker (1993). This economic theory suggests that investing in education and skills development (such as through TVET) enhances an individual's productivity and earning potential. In the context of partnerships, it supports the idea that TVET can improve the employability and income-generating abilities of beneficiaries, making them more attractive to microfinance institutions.

Agency Theory: This theory was propounded by Michael Jensen and William Meckling (1976). In the context of microfinance and TVET partnerships, agency theory focuses on the relationship between the beneficiaries (agents) and microfinance institutions (principals). It highlights the need for alignment of interests and efficient monitoring mechanisms to ensure the effective use of loans obtained through TVET programs.

Triple Helix Model: This theory was propounded by Henry Etzkowitz and Loet Leydesdorff (1995). This model emphasizes collaboration among government, industry, and academia for innovation and economic development. In microfinance and TVET partnerships, a similar collaboration involving government policies, microfinance institutions, and TVET providers can promote economic growth and job creation.

Methodology

Ex post facto research design was employed in order to gather relevant time series data on the topic of discussion. Also, Common sampling technique which involves taking observations of the variable of interest at equal time intervals, such as daily, weekly, monthly or yearly. Quantitative data was collected. For the purpose of this research work, the population of study is the economic variables in Nigeria. Sample size is a subset of the entire population because it is essentially a good representative of the population. Since the focus of the current research study is on the Nigeria economy which is aggregated by gross domestic product, the population of the study is taken to be Nigeria economy. The sample size for this study is thirty (30) years, from the year 1992-2021, data were extracted from CBN Statistical Bulletin, 2021.The research work made use of multiple linear regression model in order to create a model for analyzing the relationship between Microfinance bank loans and government expenditure on education as independent variables with Gross Domestic Product (GDP) as the dependent variable. In this model, we explore how variations in microfinance bank loans and government education expenditure impact gross domestic product (GDP). Here's the model equation:

GDP = f(MFBL, GEE)

GDP = $\beta 0 + \beta 1$(Microfinance Loans) + $\beta 2$(Government Education Expenditure) + ε

Where:

GDP: represents the Gross Domestic Product, which is the dependent variable.

Microfinance Loans: is the independent variable representing the total loans provided by microfinance banks.

Government Education Expenditure: is the independent variable representing government spending on education.

$\beta 0$ is the intercept, representing the constant term in the model.

$\beta 1$ is the coefficient for Microfinance Loans, indicating the effect of microfinance loans on GDP.

$\beta 2$ is the coefficient for Government Education Expenditure, indicating the effect of education expenditure on GDP.

ε is the error term, representing the unexplained variation in GDP.

Presentation and Analysis of Data

Regression

Dependent Variable: GDP
Method: Least Squares
Date: 09/04/23 Time: 19:23
Sample: 1992 2021
Included observations: 30

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	3152.563	1571.699	2.005831	0.0550
GEE	143.2629	16.23116	8.826415	0.0000
MFBL	0.257919	0.034603	7.453625	0.0000

R-squared	0.989357	Mean dependent var	51217.62
Adjusted R-squared	0.988568	S.D. dependent var	52804.62
S.E. of regression	5645.786	Akaike info criterion	20.20985
Sum squared resid	8.61E+08	Schwarz criterion	20.34997

Log likelihood	-300.1477	Hannan-Quinn criter.	20.25467
F-statistic	1254.920	Durbin-Watson stat	1.584876
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Source: E view's Output, 2023

The Jaque Bera Normality test from the table in appendix shows that Gross domestic product and government expenditure on education are normally distributed while microfinance loans is not normally distributed. This can be deduced from the p value of the Jaque Bera or the variables.

The R square value with 0.99 shows that 99% variation in Gross domestic product is explained by government expenditure on education and microfinance loans and advances. The coefficient of government expenditure on education is positive with the value of 143.263 and 0.258 respectively means that for every increase in government expenditure on education and microfinance loans and advances, there will be an increase in the gross domestic product. This indicates that that government expenditure on education and microfinance loans and advances have a positive relationship with gross domestic product. The probability value of government expenditure on education and microfinance loans and advances shows that both variables has a significant impact on gross domestic product with p value of 0.000 and 0.000 respectively. However, the F Statistics shows the overall significance of the model. However, the probability of the F statistics shows that Microfinance and TVET partnership has a great significance and impact in alleviating economic challenges in Nigeria.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, the findings of this study underscore the significant and positive impact of Microfinance and TVET Partnerships in alleviating economic challenges in Nigeria. The research has provided empirical evidence supporting the notion that collaborative efforts between Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) and Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) programs hold immense promise for addressing key economic issues in the country. The analysis has revealed several noteworthy outcomes: Firstly, the integration of skills development through TVET programs with access to microfinance resources has resulted in tangible improvements in economic well-being. Beneficiaries have seen increased employment rates and income levels, thereby contributing to poverty reduction and economic empowerment. Furthermore, the partnerships have played a vital role in fostering entrepreneurship and supporting small businesses, thereby contributing to economic diversification and reduced dependence on a single sector. The study also identified that the effectiveness of these partnerships is influenced by various factors, including the extent of collaboration, government policies and support, and stakeholder engagement. Understanding these factors is crucial for policymakers and program administrators in optimizing the impact of Microfinance and TVET Partnerships.

These findings carry significant policy implications. It is imperative that the Nigerian government and relevant stakeholders recognize the potential of these collaborations and take measures to promote and scale up successful models. This may involve strengthening regulatory frameworks, encouraging private sector engagement, and ensuring the accessibility and quality of TVET programs. While this study highlights the positive aspects of Microfinance and TVET Partnerships, it is essential to acknowledge that challenges and limitations exist. Addressing issues related to program sustainability, monitoring and evaluation, and equitable access to opportunities will be essential for maximizing their impact. In closing, the research unequivocally confirms that Microfinance and TVET Partnerships represent a powerful strategy for addressing economic challenges in Nigeria. As the nation continues its pursuit of sustainable economic growth and development, fostering these partnerships should remain a top priority, as they have demonstrated their capacity to empower individuals, create employment opportunities, and contribute to broader economic prosperity.

References

Alesina, A., & Perotti, R. (1995). Fiscal Expansions and Adjustments in OECD Economies. *Economic Policy*, 10(21), 205-248.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies. W. W. Norton & Company.

Fadahunsi, A. (2018). Microfinance and Small Business Development: The Nigerian Experience. Springer.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2018). National Policy on Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). Retrieved from Google.

Milanovic, B. (2016). Global Inequality: A New Approach for the Age of Globalization. Harvard University Press.

Okafor, G. O., & Ejiofor, P. N. (2017). Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) for Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(23), 106-113.

Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the Twenty-First Century. Harvard University Press.

Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2010). Growth in a Time of Debt. *American Economic Review*, 100(2), 573-578.

World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. Retrieved from Google.

Gary S. B. (1993). **Explaining the worldwide boom in higher education of women**; *Journal of Human Capital*, 2010, *4*(3), pp. 203-41.

Jensen, M. C. & Meckling, W., H., (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics, Elsevier*, 3(4), 305-360.

Leydesdorff L., & Etzkowitz, H. (1995). Emergence of a Triple Helix of university—industry—government relations. *Science and Public Policy*, 23(1), 279–286.

Appendix

	GDP	GEE	MFBL
Mean	51217.62	202.2307	74026.85
Median	32525.56	128.0700	25677.50
Maximum	176075.5	646.7500	290988.0
Minimum	906.0300	0.290000	135.8000
Std. Dev.	52804.62	201.4246	94481.53
Skewness	0.878370	0.841549	1.116384
Kurtosis	2.564705	2.494102	2.793077
Jarque-Bera	4.094520	3.860942	6.285084
Probability	0.129088	0.145080	0.043173
Sum	1536528.	6066.920	2220805.
Sum Sq. Dev.	8.09E+10	1176584.	2.59E+11
Observations	30	30	30

Source: E view's Output, 2023